

## DIETARY HABITS OF POLES WITH DIABETES: A CROSS-SECTIONAL STUDY

Kornelia Skoczylas<sup>1(A,B,C,D,E,F)</sup>, Beata Babiarczyk<sup>1(A,C,D,E,F)</sup>, Anna Michalik<sup>1(C,D,E,F)</sup><sup>1</sup> Department of Nursing, University of Bielsko-Biala, Bielsko-Biala, Poland

## Authors' contribution:

- A. Study design/planning
- B. Data collection/entry
- C. Data analysis/statistics
- D. Data interpretation
- E. Preparation of manuscript
- F. Literature analysis/search
- G. Funds collection

## Summary

**Background.** In developing countries, an increase in the incidence of diabetes has been observed in recent years, which is probably related to unhealthy lifestyles as well as a successively ageing population.

**Material and methods.** The study was conducted between March 2019 and February 2020 among people aged 18-65 years, being treated for diabetes in inpatient and outpatient facilities in southern Poland. A diagnostic survey with use of the Dietary Habits and Nutrition Beliefs Questionnaire (KomPAN) and a self-designed questionnaire was applied.

**Results.** A total of 203 subjects with diabetes took part in the study: 102 outpatients (A) and 103 who were hospitalized at the time of the study (H). The average age of the participants was 46.6±13.6 years old (18-65 years old). The majority of the diabetes patients surveyed confirmed they used a low carbohydrate diet in connection with their illness (87.7%). The dietary behaviors described by the respondents were largely unhealthy. The mean pHDI-10 score for the respondents was: 22.55±8.67 points and for nHDI-14: 15.00±9.88 points. The place of the study, education and the general financial situation of the subjects had a statistically significant effect on the results ( $p<0.05$ ).

**Conclusions.** When educating patients with diabetes, health professionals should be proactive in providing them adequate counselling on dietary recommendations from the onset of the disease. This will lead to better nutritional knowledge and the motivation to put the recommendations into practice.

**Keywords:** KomPAN, diet-index, diet quality, dietary patterns, diabetes

## Introduction

In developing countries, including Poland, an increase in the incidence of diabetes has been observed in recent years, which is probably related to modern, unhealthy lifestyles resulting in eating disorders, as well as a progressively ageing population [1,2]. According to the latest data from the International Diabetes Federation (IDF), approximately 415 million people worldwide, including 31 million in the European Union, are already living with diabetes, and it is estimated that this number could increase to 642 million by 2040 [1,2]. Polish society is also affected by this problem; epidemiological data from studies conducted by the Department of Analyses and Strategies of the

Tables: 4

Figures: 0

References: 19

Submitted: 2024 Jun 21

Accepted: 2024 Aug 19

Published Online: 2024 Sep 16

Skoczylas K, Babiarczyk B, Michalik A. Dietary habits of Poles with diabetes: a cross-sectional study. Health Prob Civil. 2025; 19(4): 370-378. <https://doi.org/10.5114/hpc.2024.142350>

**Address for correspondence:** Kornelia Skoczylas, Department of Nursing, University of Bielsko-Biala, Willowa 2, building L, 43-309 Bielsko-Biala, Poland, e-mail: [kskoczylas@ubb.edu.pl](mailto:kskoczylas@ubb.edu.pl), phone: +48 33 82 79 403.

ORCID: Kornelia Skoczylas <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6835-3246>, Beata Babiarczyk <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9878-1954>, Anna Michalik <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5914-3362>

Copyright: © John Paul II University in Białá Podlaska, Kornelia Skoczylas, Beata Babiarczyk, Anna Michalik. This is an Open Access journal, all articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons AttributionNonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0) License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/>), allowing third parties to copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format and to remix, transform, and build upon the material, provided the original work is properly cited and states its license.

National Health Fund (NFZ) indicate that the current number of people with diabetes in Poland is estimated to be as high as 3.5 million [1,3].

Despite the dynamic development of medical science and advances in medical care, diabetes remains a multifaceted problem. The treatment and prevention of the severity of the disease is a system where the elements, such as proper nutrition, physical activity, the use of hypoglycemic drugs and a lifestyle aimed at avoiding harmful factors, should complement each other. However, sometimes these elements are seen as unconnected, especially in relation to diet. Although various scientific societies regularly publish Evidence Based Medicine (EBM)-compliant guidelines for patients which recommend dietary principles that positively influence the health status of people with diabetes and these people themselves largely agree that diet is crucial in the management of their disease, the need to maintain dietary self-discipline is still a major concern for many patients [4-8].

### **Aim of the work**

The aim of the study was to evaluate selected dietary habits and the diet quality of patients with diabetes in Poland.

### **Material and methods**

#### *Design and data collection*

The study was descriptive, quantitative and cross-sectional. It was conducted between March 2019 and February 2020 among patients aged 18-65 years in treatment for diabetes in inpatient and outpatient facilities in southern Poland. After applying the exclusion criteria (lack of patient consent to participate in the study, diagnosis of gestational diabetes, not taking anti-diabetic drugs or insulin, and use of therapy for less than 6 months), the initial number of subjects meeting the age criterion (N=231) was reduced to N=208. At the data coding stage, in accordance with the recommendations of the authors of the research tool, an additional verification of the concordance of respondents' answers was carried out by means of follow-up questions. On this basis, a further 5 study participants were rejected. In the end, 203 subjects (100%) remained in the study group, comprising 101 patients (49.7%) being treated in a hospital (H) and 102 patients (50.3%) being treated in a diabetes clinic (A).

#### *Method and research tool*

The study was conducted using a survey method. The research tool was the Dietary Habits and Nutrition Beliefs Questionnaire devised in Poland for people aged 16-65 years old (KomPAN) (version v.1.1.) and the author's own questionnaire, which included a metric. KomPAN consists of four parts defining: (A) eating habits; (B) frequency of food intake; (C) views on food and nutrition; (D) lifestyle and personal information. This article details the results of Part A. The Pro-healthy-Diet-Index-10 (pHDI-10) and Non-Healthy-Diet-Index-14 (nHDI-14) are calculated based on the frequency of consumption of selected food for each subject. The interpretation of the indexes is intuitive – the higher the index value, the greater the intensity of features that are beneficial or unfavorable for health. However, the interpretation way proposed by the authors indicates the following ranges: low intensity of nutritional features (0-33 points), moderate intensity of nutritional features (34-66 points), high intensity of nutritional features (67-100 points) [9,10].

KomPAN validation conducted on the Polish population revealed that the internal reliability of the pHDI-10 ranged from 0.66 to 0.71 and for the nHDI-14 from 0.37 to 0.60. Kappa statistics were above 0.40 for all analyzed variables [11].

### Data analysis

All statistical calculations were performed using the R programme. Correlation coefficients and statistical modelling techniques were used to analyze the strength, form and direction of the interdependence of the phenomena. Quantitative features were presented with the arithmetic mean (M) and standard deviation (SD). For qualitative features, Chi-squared test was used to assess the heterogeneity of answers in the groups defined by socio-demographic variables. Differences between independent variables were compared using the Mann-Whitney U test (2 groups). *P*-values below 0.05 were considered significant.

## Results

### Patient characteristics

A total of 203 people with diabetes took part in the study: 102 outpatients (A) and 103 who were in hospital at the time of the study (H). The average age of the participants was  $46.6 \pm 13.6$  years old (1865 years old). Analysis showed no statistically significant differences in socio-demographic data between the groups. Table 1 gives an overview of the participants' socio-demographic features.

**Table 1.** Socio-demographic characteristic of the study population

| Variables                          | Total (N=203) |
|------------------------------------|---------------|
|                                    | n (%)         |
| <b>Sex</b>                         |               |
| Women                              | 104 (51.2)    |
| Men                                | 99 (48.8)     |
| <b>Education</b>                   |               |
| Basic level                        | 9 (4.4)       |
| Vocational level                   | 38 (18.7)     |
| Higher basic level                 | 101 (49.8)    |
| University level                   | 55 (27.1)     |
| <b>Marital status</b>              |               |
| Married/with a partner             | 145 (71.4)    |
| Single                             | 58 (28.6)     |
| <b>Place of residence</b>          |               |
| Country                            | 103 (50.7)    |
| Town                               | 100 (49.3)    |
| <b>Professional activity</b>       |               |
| Currently working                  | 127 (62.6)    |
| Not currently working              | 76 (37.4)     |
| <b>Overall financial situation</b> |               |
| < Average income                   | 10 (4.9)      |
| Average income                     | 148 (72.9)    |
| > Average income                   | 45 (22.2)     |

Notes: N – number of respondents; n – group size.

Regarding the health status of the participants, 62.6% had type 2 mellitus diabetes, 29.5% had type 1 mellitus diabetes, and 6.4% did not know what type of disease they had. The mean duration of the disease

was 10.1±9.5 years (0.6-58 years). 61.2% of the subjects were taking oral anti-diabetic medication and 45.3% were being treated with insulin (of which 3.9% were using a personal insulin pump).

#### *Characteristics of dietary habits and assessment of diet quality of the study population*

The majority of the people with diabetes who were interviewed confirmed the use of a low carbohydrate diet in relation to their disease (87.7%). The dietary habits of the subjects with regard to meals eaten, snacking between meals and drinks consumed are shown in Table 2, and with regard to meat, fats, adding sugar to drinks and salt to food consumed in Table 3.

**Table 2.** Respondents' eating habits in terms of meals eaten, snacking between meals and drinks consumed

| Eating habits of respondents   | Total<br>n (%)   | Statistically significant<br>variables ( $p<0.05$ )   |
|--|--|---|
| <b>How many meals per day?</b><br>1-2 meals<br>3-4 meals<br>5 meals or more  | 7 (3.5)<br>126 (62.0)<br>70 (34.5)   | <b>Sex:</b> $\chi^2=11.25$ ; $p=0.024$<br><b>Age:</b> $\chi^2=17.27$ ; $p=0.027$<br><b>Currently working:</b> $\chi^2=19.53$ ; $p=0.001$  |
| <b>Are meals eaten at regular times?</b><br>Never<br>Some meals<br>All meals   | 38 (18.7)<br>103 (50.7)<br>62 (30.5)   | <b>Hospital/Clinic:</b> $\chi^2=7.34$ ; $p=0.025$<br><b>Age:</b> $\chi^2=13.37$ ; $p=0.010$<br><b>Marital status:</b> $\chi^2=9.88$ ; $p=0.007$<br><b>Currently working:</b> $\chi^2=14.42$ ; $p=0.001$ |
| <b>How often do you snack between meals?</b><br>Never<br>1-3 times a month<br>Once a week<br>Several times a week<br>Once a day<br>Several times a day   | 33 (16.3)<br>10 (4.9)<br>14 (6.9)<br>52 (25.6)<br>24 (11.8)<br>70 (34.5)                     | n/s   |
| <b>Which foods do you usually eat between meals?</b><br>(N=170)<br>Fruit<br>Vegetables<br>Unsweetened drinks and dairy desserts<br>Sweetened drinks and dairy desserts<br>Sweet snacks<br>Salty snacks<br>Nuts, almonds, seeds | 129 (75.9)<br>165 (97.1)<br>110 (64.7)<br>145 (85.3)<br>133 (78.2)<br>99 (58.2)<br>83 (48.8) | <b>Hospital/Clinic:</b> $\chi^2=19.24$ ; $p=0.007$<br><b>Sex:</b> $\chi^2=15.03$ ; $p=0.036$<br><b>Level of education:</b> $\chi^2=26.51$ ; $p=0.022$   |
| <b>Which types of milk and dairy drinks do you drink most often?</b><br>Full fat<br>Reduced fat<br>Fat-free  | 75(36.9)<br>99(48.8)<br>29(14.3)   | n/s   |
| <b>What type of water do you usually drink?</b><br>I don't drink water<br>Still<br>Sparkling<br>Flavored   | 8(3.9)<br>131(64.5)<br>72(35.5)<br>11(5.4)   | <b>Sex:</b> $\chi^2=17.50$ ; $p=0.002$<br><b>Age:</b> $\chi^2=43.34$ ; $p=0.001$<br><b>Currently working:</b> $\chi^2=40.11$ ; $p=0.001$  |

Notes:  $p$  – significant statistical level.

**Table 3.** Eating habits in terms of respondents' consumption of meat, fats, and the sweetening of drinks and addition of salt to food

| Eating habits of respondents   | Total n (%)   | Statistically significant variables ( $p < 0.05$ )   |
|--|---|--|
| <b>How are the meat dishes you eat usually prepared?</b><br>Boiled<br>Stewed<br>Grilled<br>Roasted<br>Fried<br>I don't eat meat                        | 96 (47.3)<br>68 (33.5)<br>109 (53.7)<br>79 (38.9)<br>121 (59.6)<br>75 (36.9)        | <b>Hospital/Clinic:</b> $\chi^2=40.19$ ; $p=0.001$<br><b>Sex:</b> $\chi^2=26.67$ ; $p=0.001$<br><b>Age:</b> $\chi^2=54.35$ ; $p=0.001$<br><b>Level of education:</b> $\chi^2=33.81$ ; $p=0.001$<br><b>Currently working:</b> $\chi^2=30.73$ ; $p=0.001$<br><b>Place of residence:</b> $\chi^2=12.78$ ; $p=0.047$<br><b>Financial situation:</b> $\chi^2=14.81$ ; $p=0.022$ |
| <b>What type of fat do you usually spread on bread?</b><br>None<br>Various<br>Mayonnaise<br>Margarine<br>Butter<br>Mix of butter and margarine<br>Lard | 24 (11.8)<br>25 (12.3)<br>3 (1.5)<br>29 (14.3)<br>90 (44.3)<br>31 (15.3)<br>1 (0.5) | n/s  |
| <b>What kind of fat do you usually use to fry food?</b><br>None<br>Various<br>Vegetable oil<br>Margarine<br>Butter<br>Lard                             | 22 (10.8)<br>56 (27.6)<br>113 (55.7)<br>6 (3.0)<br>2 (1.0)<br>4 (2.0)               | <b>Marital status:</b> $\chi^2=11.43$ ; $p=0.044$<br><b>Financial situation:</b> $\chi^2=11.71$ ; $p=0.039$  |
| <b>Do you sweeten hot drinks, e.g., tea, cocoa, coffee?</b><br>No<br>One teaspoon<br>Two or more teaspoons<br>I use a sweetener                        | 151 (74.4)<br>16 (7.9)<br>10 (4.9)<br>26 (12.8)                                     | <b>Age:</b> $\chi^2=14.38$ ; $p=0.026$   |
| <b>Do you add salt to cooked meals and sandwiches at the table?</b><br>No<br>Sometimes<br>Most meals   | 125 (61.6)<br>63 (31.0)<br>15 (7.4)   | <b>Hospital/Clinic:</b> $\chi^2=8.07$ ; $p=0.018$<br><b>Age:</b> $\chi^2=13.86$ ; $p=0.008$  |

Notes:  $p$  – significant statistical level.

Selected socio-demographic characteristics significantly influenced the results. The respondents in Group A statistically significantly more often declared regular consumption of all meals, less often ate fruit between meals on weekdays, less often chose unsweetened drinks and dairy desserts and salty snacks, less often consumed boiled meat dishes and were more likely to eat grilled and roasted meat dishes, and less often declared adding salt at the table to cooked meals and sandwiches. Female respondents significantly more often declared eating the recommended number of meals, drank still water more often, snacked more often on unsweetened drinks and dairy desserts and salty snacks between meals, and consumed fruit and

boiled and stewed meat dishes less often in favor of grilled, roasted and fried dishes. Those aged 41-55 years were significantly associated with less often eating the recommended number of meals and less often eating regularly and drinking still water. The less-educated respondents more often reported snacking on nuts, almonds or seeds between meals and to report less often snacking on fruit; they were also less likely to consume boiled meat and more likely to consume grilled and roasted meat. Those in a relationship statistically significantly more often reported irregular consumption of all meals and more frequent use of vegetable oil for frying. Living in a rural area was associated with a significantly lower consumption of boiled and fried meat dishes and higher consumption of grilled dishes. People in employment statistically less often reported eating the recommended number of meals, eating all meals regularly, drinking still water and consuming boiled meat dishes, and more often reported consuming grilled and roasted meat dishes. Those with an above-average overall financial situation, when compared to those with an average or below-average financial situation, significantly more often ate cooked foods and less often grilled foods or reported not eating meat, and more often did not use any fat for frying.

The results of the pHDI-10 and nHDI-14 indices by the place of the study are shown in Table 4.

**Table 4.** Analysis of the results of pHDI-10 and nHDI-14 by the place of the study

| Indices | Group A<br>(N=102) | Group H<br>(N=101) | All respondents (N=203) |
|---------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| pHDI-10 |                    |                    |                         |
| M±SD    | 23.70±8.22         | 21.39±9.00         | 22.55±8.67              |
| Min-Max | 5-46               | 4-41               | 4-46                    |
| Me      | 25.00              | 21.00              | 22.00                   |
| p*      | 0.047              |                    |                         |
| nHDI-14 |                    |                    |                         |
| M±SD    | 11.10±8.56         | 18.93±9.60         | 15.00±9.88              |
| Min-Max | 0-45               | 1-44               | 0-45                    |
| Me      | 9.00               | 18.00              | 14.00                   |
| p*      | <0.001             |                    |                         |

Notes: N – number of respondents; M – arithmetic mean; SD – standard deviation; Min – minimum; Max – maximum; Me – median; p – significant statistical level; \* Mann-Whitney U test.

In addition to this, the results of both indices were statistically significantly influenced by the education of the subjects. The higher the level of education, the statistically significantly higher the pHDI-10 ( $p=0.011$ ) and the lower the nHDI-14 ( $p=0.044$ ). The higher pHDI-10 score was also significantly influenced by the average overall financial situation of the respondents ( $p=0.018$ ).

## Discussion

For several years now, experts have been emphasizing that the diabetes diet, as an eating plan for people with diabetes, does not differ in principle from the general guidelines of a healthy diet recommended for all people, and that it should be tailored to the energy and nutritional needs of each individual patient. It also emphasizes the importance of positive health behaviors, such as regular mealtimes and the avoidance of



snacks and of stimulants, in reducing the risk of diabetes complications and achieving optimal treatment goals [5,12,13]. In our study, as in the studies by Kołpa et al. [14] and Brońkowska et al. [15], the vast majority of subjects confirmed adherence to a low carbohydrate diet, but it should be remembered that, carbohydrate intake is a primary, though not the only, driver of optimal glycemic control. Our own research has shown that only around one in three say they regularly eat all their recommended 5 or more meals per day. Others admit that they only occasionally follow these recommendations or even admit to being completely irregular in this respect, especially those aged 41-55 and who are working and are in relationships. In our own study, similar to the results reported by Kołpa et al. [14], only a small percentage of respondents reported that they did not snack between meals (16.3%). The rest of the respondents reported eating a variety of snacks between meals, including, alas, sweetened dairy drinks and desserts, as well as sweet and salty snacks. People with diabetes should follow recommendations to limit the consumption of products that are sources of saturated fats, such as animal fats, butter, lard, high-fat dairy drinks or so-called "red meat" [5,16]. The findings of this study revealed that the highest percentage of respondents chose butter (44.3%) or a mixture of butter and margarine (15.3%) as a spread, about a third of the respondents drank full-fat milk and half of the respondents confirmed the most frequent consumption of fried (59.6%) and/or grilled (53.7%) meat dishes. This profile of fat intake can easily lead to faster development or aggravation of atherosclerosis and related diseases, especially as diabetes itself is a predisposing factor. Diabetes is a disease that often co-exists with hypertension. Therefore, the Polish Diabetes Association (PTD) recommendations state that dietary salt intake, the main source of sodium, should be reduced [5,15]. In the present study, as in the work of Górska-Ciebiada et al. [17], the majority of people denied adding salt to their food at the table (61.6%), but one third of the respondents confirmed that they sometimes did it (31.0%) and 7.4% always did it. People with diabetes should also avoid sweetening hot drinks such as tea, cocoa or coffee. In the study by Bronkowska et al. [15], 94.4% of men and 76.5% of women denied sweetening their drinks, whereas in our study 74.4% of subjects confirmed that they did not sweeten their drinks, but others sweetened their drinks with artificial sweeteners (12.8%) or sugar (12.9%). When analyzing the quality of the diet of the subjects in the present study, we found that it was characterized by a low pHDI-10, especially in patients in Group H. A better financial situation and a higher level of education were also found to be important factors in the more frequent use of a diet with health-promoting characteristics. These study findings are consistent with the literature [6,17,18]. The poor financial situation of people with diabetes is an important barrier to healthy eating, as it forces them to buy cheaper and often less healthy products. Moreover, a higher education may contribute to better health literacy skills, meaning a better decision-making ability for adhering to dietary rules and other self-care behaviors [17,19].

### *Study limitations*

As in other retrospective studies, obtained data may be biased (over- or underestimated). Although recall biases cannot be avoided, we followed the recommendations of the KomPAN authors regarding the verification of the compliance in the answers given by the respondents. Lack of compliance resulted in the respondent being removed from the data set. Thus we minimized recall bias. Another limitation of the study is related to the fact that other parts of the questionnaire (A, B, and D), which could provide a comprehensive view of the research problem, have not been discussed in this article. However, due to the extensiveness of the survey it was decided to present only a part of the data, with the remainder of the data being presented in subsequent articles.

## Conclusions

Although the majority of people with diabetes said they were on a diet, closer analysis revealed that their eating habits were largely unhealthy. The only positive dietary behaviors were quenching thirst with still water, not sweetening hot drinks and not adding salt to food. The pHDI-10 and nHDI-14 values were of moderate severity. Adherence to treatment recommendations, including dietary recommendations, helps to prevent adverse and often dangerous complications of the disease. Therefore, health professionals should be proactive in educating patients from the very beginning of their disease and provide them with adequate counselling about dietary recommendations.

## Disclosures and acknowledgements

The authors declare no conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

The study procedure followed the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki (The World Medical Association, 2018), and the approval was obtained from the Bioethics Committee in Bielsko-Biała, Poland (No. 2018/11/15/3 dated 15.11.2018).

Artificial intelligence (AI) was not used in the creation of the manuscript.

## References:

1. Kotwas A, Karakiewicz B, Zabielska P, Wieder-Huszla S, Jurczak A. Epidemiological factors for type 2 diabetes mellitus: evidence from the Global Burden of Disease. *Arch Public Health*. 2021; 79: 110-16. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13690-021-00632-1>
2. Magliano DJ, Boyko EJ, editors. IDF Diabetes Atlas. 10<sup>th</sup> edition [Internet]. Brussels: International Diabetes Federation; 2021. [access: 2024 Feb 28] Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK581934/>
3. [www.ippez.pl](http://www.ippez.pl) [Internet]. [Strategy for the prevention and treatment of diabetes in Poland 2015-2025. Map of diabetes needs in Poland] [access: 2023 Nov 9]. Available from: <https://ippez.pl/wp-content/uploads/2019/03/Cukrzyca-20251.pdf> (in Polish).
4. Prylińska M, Husejko J, Wąsicki M, Modrzejewski M, Szewczak D, Lamtych M, et al. A person with diabetes as a patient – basic rules of conduct. *J Edu Health Sport*. 2019; 9(9): 112-120. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3386702>
5. Araszkiewicz A, Bandurska-Stankiewicz E, Borys S, Budzyński A, Cyganek K, Cypriak K, et al. Guidelines on the management of patients with diabetes – a position of Diabetes Poland. *Curr Top Diabetes*. 2023; 3(1): 1-133. <https://doi.org/10.5114/ctd/160061>
6. Ayele AA, Emiru YK, Tiruneh SA, Tiruneh SA, Ayele BA, Gebremariam AD, et al. Level of adherence to dietary recommendations and barriers among type 2 diabetic patients: a cross-sectional study in an Ethiopian hospital. *Clin Diabetes Endocrinol*. 2018; 4: 21. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40842-018-0070-7>
7. Jaworski M, Panczyk M, Cedro M, Kucharska A. Adherence to dietary recommendations in diabetes mellitus: disease acceptance as a potential mediator. *Patient Prefer Adher*. 2018; 12: 163-74. <https://doi.org/10.2147/PPA.S147233>



8. Saleh AM. People with diabetes adherence to drug, dietary, and lifestyle changes in Erbil city, Iraq. *BMC Endocr Disord.* 2022; 22: 305. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12902-022-01230-0>
9. Jeżewska-Zychowicz M, Gawęcki J, Wądołowska L, Czarnocińska J, Galiński G, Kołajtis-Dołowy A, et al. [Dietary habits and nutrition beliefs questionnaire]. In: Gawęcki J, editor. [Dietary habits and nutrition beliefs questionnaire and the manual for developing of nutritional data] [Internet]. Warszawa: The Committee of Human Nutrition, Polish Academy of Sciences; 2014. p. 2133. [access: 2019 Jan 3]. Available from: <https://knozcp.pan.pl/pl/kompan-1> (in Polish).
10. Wądołowska L, Krusińska B. [Procedure for developing nutritional data from the KomPAN questionnaire]. In: Gawęcki J, editor. [Dietary habits and nutrition beliefs questionnaire and the manual for developing of nutritional data] [Internet]. Warszawa: The Committee of Human Nutrition, Polish Academy of Sciences; 2014. p. 34-51. [access: 2019 Jan 3]. Available from: <https://knozcp.pan.pl/pl/kompan-1> (in Polish).
11. Kowalkowska J, Wądołowska L, Czarnocińska J, Czapka-Matyasik M, Galinski G, Jezewska-Zychowicz M, et al. Reproducibility of a questionnaire for dietary habits, lifestyle and nutrition knowledge assessment (KomPAN) in Polish adolescents and adults. *Nutrients.* 2018; 10: 1845. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu10121845>
12. Piejko L, Nawrat-Szołtysik A, Kopeć D, Broncel-Czekaj D, Nowak Z. [Diet quality and selected health behaviors of type 2 diabetes adults]. *Forum Zab. Metabol.* 2018; 9(1): 36-44 (in Polish).
13. Świrski J, Fedurek D, Zwolak A, Dudzińska M, Łuczyk RJ, Daniluk J, et al. [Knowledge of the basics of healthy nutrition among patients with diabetes]. *J Educ Health Sport.* 2017; 7(5): 419-35 (in Polish).
14. Kołpa M, Grochowska A, Kubik B, Stradomska K. Lifestyle, metabolic compensation in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and the risk of chronic disease complications. *Clin Diabetol.* 2018; 7(3): 151-58. <https://doi.org/10.5603/DK.2018.0011>
15. Bronkowska M, Zatońska K, Orzeł D, Biernat J. [Evaluation of nutrition knowledge of people with type 2 diabetes under dietary recommendations]. *Bromat Chem Toksykol.* 2013; 46(4): 520-30 (in Polish).
16. Hołyńska A, Kucharska A, Sińska B, Panczyk M, Hołyńska A, Kucharska A, et al. The level of nutrition knowledge versus dietary habits of diabetes patients treated with insulin]. *Pol Merkur Lekarski.* 2015; 39(233): 292-96 (in Polish).
17. Górski-Ciebiada M, Saryusz-Wolska M, Ciebiada M, Barylski M, Loba J. [Nutritional habits among elderly patients with diabetes]. *Geriatrics.* 2015; 9(1): 7-14 (in Polish).
18. Yoshimura E, Hamada Y, Hatanaka M, Nanri H, Nakagata T, Matsumoto N, et al. Relationship between intra-individual variability in nutrition-related lifestyle behaviors and blood glucose outcomes under free-living conditions in adults without type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* 2023; 196: 110231. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2022.110231>
19. Joshi J, Patel P, Gandhi S, Patel N, Chaudhari A. Factors influencing adherence to self-care practices among patients of type 2 diabetes mellitus from Saurashtra region of Gujarat: a conclusive research. *J Family Med Prim Care.* 2022; 11: 6395-401. [https://doi.org/10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc\\_473\\_22](https://doi.org/10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc_473_22)